

**BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES – CUM – FIRST APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.**

**First Appeal No.31/2023**

**Present: Thiru. S.YESVANTHAIYAH**  
Registrar of Cooperative Societies–cum-First Appellate Authority.

R. Kirubakaran,  
No.66, Gandhi Street,  
Shanmugapuram,  
Puducherry – 605 009.

>>> Appellant

**Vs**

The Managing Director-cum-Public Information Officer  
The Pondicherry Co-op. Milk Producers' Union Ltd.,  
Vazhudavoor Road, Kurumampet, Puducherry – 605 009.

>>> Respondent

**ORDER**

***(Issued under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005)***

The appellant submitted an application dated 12.12.2024, under Section 6 of the Right to Information Act, 2005, (**hereafter “the Act”**) to the respondent-society and sought for certain information. The respondent society furnished a reply on 10.01.2024.

**2)** Aggrieved by the response of the respondent-society, the appellant filed this first appeal dated 05.02.2024, on the ground that the respondent-society's response for Item Nos.2 to 5, 8 & 13 are neither correct nor satisfactory.

**3)** The respondent-society furnished its response, vide letter dated 23.02.2024. On perusal of the records available, it is found that –

- a)** The information sought for under **Item No. 2** is not clear. The appellant should have written his application without any ambiguity.
- b)** In respect of **Item Nos. 3 to 5**, the appellant didn't specifically ask anything without any ambiguity. The applicant has plainly mentioned in his application as appointment of employees or promotion to employees, which gives ambiguity regarding year of appointment / promotion. The appellant can't ask general information regarding the entire appointment / promotion in the respondent-society.

- c) In respect of **Item No. 8**, the respondent-society refused to give the required information on the basis of "**business secret**". In the appeal petition, the appellant claimed that, his request for information is "**compliment**" and not "**commercial**". By using the compliment and commercial words, the appellant didn't furnish any concrete statement to support his claim of furnishing the required information by the respondent-society.
- d) In respect of **Item No. 13**, the appellant sought for information on hypothetical / imaginary situation, for which, he can't expect information from the respondent-society.
- 4) In view of the above, **this appeal is dismissed as lack of merits.**
- 5) If aggrieved by this decision, a 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal may be filed, under Section 19(3) of the Act, within 90 days, with the 2<sup>nd</sup> Appellate Authority, whose address is given below:

Central Information Commissioner  
Room No.305.II Floor, "B" Wing, August Kranti Bhavan  
Bhikaji Cama Place, NEW DELHI-110 066.

Dated at Puducherry on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March 2024.



7/5  
  
(S.YESVANTHAIYAH)  
Registrar of Cooperative Societies  
-cum- First Appellate Authority

**To:**

1. The Appellant
2. The Respondent
3. The Web Editor, Cooperative Dept., Puducherry.
4. Stock File 2024.

@@@